

Patented Method Guide Aspiration for Coronary Artery Thrombosis: First Results About Efficiency and Safety in Real – World Clinical Practice

Georgy Salamov

Interventional Cardiology Department, Samara regional clinical cardiology dispensary named V. P. Polyakov, Russia

Temur Kislukhin

Interventional Cardiology Department, Samara regional clinical cardiology dispensary named V. P. Polyakov, Russia

Evgenii Kostyrin

Interventional Cardiology Department, Samara regional clinical cardiology dispensary named V. P. Polyakov, Russia

Alexander Tumanov

Interventional Cardiology Department, Samara regional clinical cardiology dispensary named V. P. Polyakov, Russia

Aleksei Titov

Interventional Cardiology Department, Samara regional clinical cardiology dispensary named V. P. Polyakov, Russia

Alena Patrikeeva

Interventional Cardiology Department, Samara regional clinical cardiology dispensary named V. P. Polyakov, Russia

Abstract:

Objective. To evaluate the efficiency and safety of a patented method for performing aspiration thromboembolectomy (ATE) using a guiding catheter in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and massive coronary thrombosis after failure of standard percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), involving traditional aspiration thrombectomy in Real – World Clinical Practice.

Methods. Retrospective non-interventional Real – World Study (RWS) included 326 patients (n=326) with ACS (77% men, age 67.9 (9.1) years, M (SD)) and massive coronary thrombosis with ATE between 2023 and 2025. All patients primarily recommended standard surgical approaches and infusion of GP IIb/IIIa. 31.3% (102/326) of cases after ineffectiveness recommended methods (TIMI 0) was used patented method guide aspiration. In 30.4% of cases (31/102), IVUS/OCT was used. The efficiency criterion was an optimal PCI result (TIMI III without hemodynamically significant dissection or embolization, good stent expansion to IVUS/OCT). Safety was assessed based on the incidence of intraoperative complications caused by the patented method (perforation, thrombotic migration, hemodynamically significant dissection outside the target segment, intraoperative MI, and stroke).