

In-Situ PFv Profiling in Sub-Elite Gaelic Football: Validation, Comparison with Isolated Sprints, Minimum Data Load Requirements, and Exploring “Real-Time” Monitoring Potential

Eamon McGleenan

Ph.D. Candidate, Predictive Sports Analytics, Queen’s University Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK

Samuel D.T. Grant

Predictive Sports Analytics, Queen’s University Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK

Jack C.J. Brown

Predictive Sports Analytics, Queen’s University Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK

Richard Hawkins

Liverpool Football Club, Anfield Road, Liverpool, UK

Tiago de Melo Malaquias

Al Qadsiah Football Club, Al Khobar, Saudi Arabia

STATSports Group Limited, Drumalane Mill, The Quays, Newry, Northern Ireland, UK

David B. Jess

Predictive Sports Analytics, Queen’s University Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK

Abstract:

In-game performance monitoring is of growing interest to sports science practitioners. This study presents a proof-of-concept methodology capable of tracking, on average, a 6.4% reduction in ballistic power (PB) during competitive matches. The study investigated the linearity of in-situ PFv profiles in 34 sub-elite Gaelic footballers (aged 26.08 ± 6.84 years), compared in-situ profiles to isolated sprint-derived profiles, determined minimum data requirements for reliable profiling, and explored the utility of in-game monitoring. Linearity was comparable to previous studies, with Fv profile R^2 values of 0.929 ± 0.110 for match data and 0.949 ± 0.105 for training data. Consistent, systematic differences were observed between in-situ and isolated profiles using Cohen’s d. Acceleration ($A0$; $1.00 \leq d \leq 1.93$) was significantly higher in-situ, while maximum power (P_{max} ; $-1.02 \leq d \leq -1.36$) and the Fv offset (dFv ; $-0.90 \leq d \leq -1.09$) were significantly lower, highlighting that the two profiles are not interchangeable. Maximum speeds ($S0$) exhibited context-dependent variance, being larger in matches than in isolated sprints (8.56 ± 0.31 m/s versus 8.33 ± 0.34 m/s; $d = +0.74$), but lower in training (8.04 ± 0.25 m/s; $d = -1.29$, $p < 0.001$). Minimum data load was determined using two methods: the time required for R^2 to reach 0.9 (12 minutes) and the geometric knee of the R^2 versus data load curve (7 minutes). Using these sliding windows, PB showed average reductions of 6.4% and 4.9%, respectively, across a full game. These findings suggest that PFv profiling may offer a practical, scalable method for in-situ performance monitoring in team sports.

Keywords:

Fatigue, proof-of-concept, in-situ, real-time monitoring, PFv profiling.