Use of Antibiotics: A Quality Improvement Initiative

Amna Rashid

Basildon University Hospital, UK

Abstract:

Reason for Audit: This audit was triggered by the concern that antibiotics were being prescribed inappropriately across multiple medicine wards. Specific issues included:

- 1. Antibiotics being administered without clear clinical indications.
- 2. Prolonged courses of antibiotics (over 10 days) prescribed for minor infections, without any review or documentation of continued need.
- 3. Strong antibiotics being initiated as first-line therapy without appropriate diagnostic workups, such as cultures, or consultation with microbiologists.

Aims of the Audit: The primary objectives of this audit were to:

- 1. Reduce antibiotic resistance and minimize side effects such as Clostridium difficile (C. difficile) infections, which can result from inappropriate or prolonged antibiotic use.
- 2. Improve antibiotic stewardship by ensuring timely review of antibiotics after a designated period and adjusting or discontinuing them as clinically appropriate.
- 3. Ensure compliance with national/ Hospital guidelines regarding antibiotic prescribing practices, including the appropriate choice of antibiotics and their duration.
- 4. Emphasize diagnostic accuracy by promoting the practice of sending blood cultures before initiating antibiotics, especially in patients showing signs of sepsis, ensuring targeted and effective treatment.

Audit Methodology:

- This audit was conducted in a prospective manner, focusing on five geriatric wards.
- Data were collected from clinical notes and the EPMA (Electronic Prescribing and Medicines Administration) system, ensuring comprehensive and accurate data capture.
- · Trust antibiotic prescribing guidelines served as the benchmark for evaluating current practice.

Key Findings:

- 1. Only 64% of patients were prescribed antibiotics in alignment with trust protocols and culture results, indicating significant room for improvement in compliance with the guidelines.
- 2. Only 42% of patients had their antibiotics reviewed after 5 days, or had a clear plan for review. This suggests a lack of structured follow-up, which is crucial for minimizing unnecessary antibiotic use.
- 3. Only 80% of patients had a documented reason for the antibiotic prescription, but the remaining 20% lacked this essential documentation, undermining the transparency and justification for antibiotic use.